UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/607,917	06/26/2003	Kyung-Hun Jang	12000.SMG.0021	8113
	7590 07/28/200 DENDORF LLP	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 1901	0	HOFFMAN, BRANDON S		
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2136	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/28/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/607,917	JANG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	BRANDON S. HOFFMAN	2136				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply	/ IO OFT TO EVEIDE A MONTH!	0) OD THIRTY (00) BANG				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 M	av 2008.					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
oce the attached detailed office action for a list	or the contined copies not receive	u.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 2136

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-15 are pending in this office action.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on May 29, 2008, has been entered.
- 3. Applicant's arguments, filed May 29, 2008, are moot in view of the new ground of rejection.

Priority

4. Applicant cannot rely upon the foreign priority papers to overcome this rejection because a translation of said papers has not been made of record in accordance with 37 CFR 1.55. See MPEP § 201.15.

Claim Rejections

Art Unit: 2136

5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. <u>Claim 1, 3-8, and 10-12</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Cam Winget</u> (U.S. Patent No. 7,275,157) in view of <u>Watanabe et al.</u> (U.S. Patent No. 7,072,657).

<u>Cam Winget</u> has a provisional priority date of May 27, 2003, from provisional application number 60/473,507. This is the reference used in the rejection.

Regarding claims 1, 8, 11, and 12, Cam Winget teaches a roaming method/computer readable storage medium/apparatus for a wireless station using a plurality of encryption keys differentiated according to a plurality of access authorization classes, the differentiated encryption keys provided to communicate data with corresponding access points, said method comprising the steps of:

Obtaining by a wireless station in advance an encryption key set including the
differentiated encryption keys for the corresponding access points when initial
authentication of the wireless station is performed (page 1, line 19 through
page 2, line 2);

 Receiving a command to communicate with an access point not available for communication using an encryption key currently selected in the encryption key set (page 2, lines 3-8);

- Selecting an encryption key from the encryption key set obtained in advance corresponding to the determined access authorization (page 2, lines 9-13); and
- Using the selected encryption key to encrypt data and communicate with the access point not available for communication (page 2, lines 9-13).

<u>Cam Winget</u> does not teach determining an access authorization to the access point not available for communications.

<u>Watanabe et al.</u> teaches determining an access authorization to the access point not available for communications (col. 7, lines 4-6).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine determining an access authorization to the access point not available for communications, as taught by <u>Watanabe et al.</u>, with the method of <u>Cam Winget</u>. It would have been obvious for such modifications because the proper access authorization ensures the proper credentials are given to gain access.

Regarding <u>claim 3</u>, <u>Cam Winget</u> as modified by <u>Watanabe et al.</u> teaches further comprising: **the** wireless station desiring to communicate with an access point selecting

from the **differentiated** encryption keys an encryption key corresponding to access authorization to the access point and communicates data with the access point (see col. 7, lines 17-40 of Watanabe et al.).

Regarding <u>claims 4 and 10</u>, <u>Cam Winget</u> as modified by <u>Watanabe et al.</u> teaches wherein the **obtaining of the differentiated** encryption keys comprises:

- Determining access authorization to the access point when the access point is requested to perform initial authentication by the wireless station (see fig. 7, ref. num 502 of Watanabe et al.);
- Obtaining an encryption key and generating a shared key set including the obtained encryption keys in accordance with the determination result of the first step (see col. 6, line 57 through col. 7, line 16 of Watanabe et al.);
- Determining access authorization to an access point belonging to an LAN by a
 LAN authentication server which is requested to perform initial authentication by
 the wireless station (see fig. 7, ref. num 510 of Watanabe et al.);
- Obtaining an encryption key and updating the shared key set by adding the
 encryption key to the shared key set in accordance with the determination result
 of the third step (see col. 7, lines 41-64 of Watanabe et al.);
- Determining access authorization to an access point belonging to a WAN by a
 WAN authentication server which is requested to perform initial authentication by
 the wireless station (see fig. 7, ref. num 516 of Watanabe et al.); and

Obtaining an encryption key and updating the shared key set by adding the
encryption key to the shared key set in accordance with the determination result
of the fifth step (see col. 7, lines 41-64 of Watanabe et al.).

Regarding <u>claim 5</u>, <u>Cam Winget</u> as modified by <u>Watanabe et al.</u> teaches wherein the first step further comprises the wireless station requesting **the** access point to perform authentication, and the access point which is requested to perform authentication determining whether or not access authorization to the access point corresponds to a class 1, **the** class 1 indicating access authorization to **the** access point to which the wireless station is assigned (see col. 7, lines 17-40 of Watanabe et al.).

Regarding <u>claim 6</u>, <u>Cam Winget</u> as modified by <u>Watanabe et al.</u> teaches wherein the third step of claim 4 further comprises:

- The LAN authentication server determining whether or not access authorization
 to the access point corresponds to a class 2, the class 2 indicating access
 authorization to predetermined access points included in a LAN to which the
 wireless station is assigned;
- If a determination result indicates that the access authorization corresponds to the class 2, obtaining an encryption key of class 2, and determining whether or not the access authorization corresponds to a class 3, the class 3 indicating access authorization to all access points included in the LAN to which the wireless station is assigned; and

 If a determination result indicates that the access authorization corresponds to the class 3, obtaining an encryption key of class 3 (see fig. 4, ref. num 408A-D, 410A-B, and 412A-F of Watanabe et al.).

Regarding claim 7, Cam Winget as modified by Watanabe et al. teaches all the limitations of claims 4 and 6, above. However, Cam Winget as modified by Watanabe et al. does not specifically teach wherein the second step of claim 6 further comprises: allocating a null encryption key if the determination result of the first step indicates that the access authorization does not correspond to the class 2; and allocating a null encryption key if the determination result indicates that the access authorization does not correspond to the class 3.

Official Notice is taken that a null encryption key is allocated if the determining steps determines that the access authorization does not correspond to class 2 or 3.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine allocating a null encryption key based on a determination that the access authorization does not correspond to class 2 or 3, with the method of Cam Winget/Watanabe et al. It would have been obvious for such modifications because a null encryption key ensures that access is not obtained when access authorizations do not match. When a mobile device does not have authorization for a certain class, a null encryption key will prevent further access. If the null

encryption key was not allocated to the mobile device, other data would be allocated and could possibly allow authorization.

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Ueda et al.</u> (U.S. Patent No. 6,289,102) in view of <u>Cam Winget</u> (U.S. Patent No. 7,275,157).

Regarding <u>claim 14</u>, <u>Ueda et al.</u> teaches a computer readable storage medium storing instructions which, when executed causes execution of a program implementing a structure of a wireless data packet in a wireless network that comprises a wireless station and an access point, the structure comprising:

- A header of said data packet transmitted through the wireless network (fig. 1, SECTOR HEADER FIELD);
- An encrypted data field in which data contents to be transmitted are encrypted and stored (fig. 1, USER DATA FIELD and fig. 13, section E); and
- An error correction field, which is used to correct data error (fig. 1, ECC).

<u>Ueda et al.</u> does not teach an access authorization information storing field, which indicates access authorization for communication between the wireless station and the access point, wherein: the access authorization information storing field comprises access authorization information being used for allocating encryption keys differentiated according to access authorization classes, and the differentiated

Art Unit: 2136

encryption keys are provided to communicate data with corresponding access points.

<u>Cam Winget</u> teaches an access authorization information storing field, which indicates access authorization for communication between the wireless station and the access point, wherein: the access authorization information storing field comprises access authorization information being used for allocating encryption keys differentiated according to access authorization classes, and the differentiated encryption keys are provided to communicate data with corresponding access points (page 1, line 19 through page 2, line 13).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine a field for access authorization information storing, as taught by <u>Cam Winget</u>, with the medium of <u>Ueda et al.</u> It would have been obvious for such modifications because the access authorization field tells the device being accessed which level of access needs to take place.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. <u>Claims 2, 9, 13, and 15</u> are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2136

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BRANDON S. HOFFMAN whose telephone number is (571)272-3863. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nasser G. Moazzami can be reached on 571-272-4195. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Brandon S Hoffman/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2136